



Research Article

Biomass Partitioning of Cowpea as Affected by Elevated CO₂, Cyanobacterial Inoculation and Different Doses of Phosphorus

SUMIT KUMAR DEY¹, B. CHAKRABARTI¹*, R. PRASANNA², D. PRATAP¹, S.D. SINGH¹, T.J. PURAKAYASTHA³ AND H. PATHAK¹

¹Centre for Environment Science and Climate Resilient Agriculture, ²Division of Microbiology, ³Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110012

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted in free air carbon-dioxide enrichment facility (FACE) ring to study the impact of increased CO_2 level, cyanobacteria inoculation and phosphorus doses on biomass partitioning in cowpea. The crop was grown under elevated (550 ± 20 ppm) and ambient (400 ppm) CO_2 concentration with 5 doses of P with and without cyanobacterial inoculation. Elevated CO_2 level increased the partitioning of biomass to root, stem and leaf throughout the crop growth period. At 45 DAS, stem biomass was 8.45 g plant⁻¹ under elevated CO_2 condition, while ambient CO_2 treatment recorded stem biomass of 6.49 g plant⁻¹. Similar trend was also observed in root and leaf biomass to the crop. Application of phosphorus (P) and cyanobacteria further increased the partitioning of biomass to the plant parts.

Key words: Elevated CO₂, Phosphorus, Cyanobacteria, Biomass partitioning, Cowpea

Introduction

Global climate change has emerged as a challenge due to its potential impact on biological systems. Presently, CO_2 concentration in the atmosphere is steadily increasing at the rate of nearly 2 ppm yr⁻¹. An increase in CO_2 concentration generally increases plant productivity (Drake and Gonzàlez-Meier, 1997). Among the crops, legumes may have advantages over non-leguminous crops due to its ability to fix atmospheric greater nitrogen (N) under elevated CO_2 (Zanetti *et al.*, 1996; Ross *et al.*, 2004). Growth response of different crop species to increased CO_2 concentration is different (Hunt *et al.*, 1991; Poorter *et al.*, 1996), and is also

*Corresponding author, Email: bidisha2@yahoo.com dependent on the nutrient status of soil. As phosphorus (P) plays an important role in N fixation, we have studied the response of cowpea, a leguminous crop under varying P doses, with the hypothesis that P application will further increase growth and biomass partitioning of the crop under elevated CO_2 condition. Cyanobacterial strains liberate a variety of extracellular substances like plant-hormones and other metabolites which have impact on plant growth (Prasanna et al., 2011; Manjunath et al., 2011). We have further studied the role of cyanobacteria under elevated CO₂ condition in enhancing the growth of cowpea.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during July to October months of 2014 at the ICAR-Indian

Agricultural Research Institute farm, New Delhi. Elevated CO_2 concentration (550 ± 20 ppm) was maintained using FACE (Free Air Carbon-dioxide Enrichment) facility. The FACE ring is made up of eight horizontal pipes which release CO_2 enriched air at the crop canopy level. CO_2 concentration inside the ring was measured by non-dispersive infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) and data was logged automatically in the computer at every 5 minutes interval (Chakrabarti *et al.*, 2012).

Cowpea (var. Pusa Sukomal) was grown in pots both inside and outside the FACE facility. Recommended doses of N and K were applied as basal. Five different levels of P were applied through single super phosphate: 75% of recommended dose of P (RDP), 100 % RDP, 125% RDP, 150% of RDP and a control (no phosphatic fertilizer applied). Cowpea seeds were inoculated with crop-specific *Rhizobium* inoculants available in the Division of Microbiology and the cyanobacterium *Calothrix* sp. inoculation was done in soil.

Leaf, stem and root biomass were monitored by destructive sampling at 25, 45 and 65 days after showing (DAS). The design of the experiment was factorial completely randomized design (CRD) with 20 treatments. Statistical analysis of the data was performed using SAS (ver. 9.3) developed by SAS Institute Inc.

Results and Discussion

Biomass partitioning

The crop grown under elevated CO_2 concentration accumulated higher biomass, which was reflected in higher leaf, stem and root biomass of the crop at different growth stages (Table 1). The P levels also significantly increased in leaf, root and stem biomass at different growth stages. The positive effect of CO_2 , cyanobacteria and P application on biomass partitioning led to higher above ground biomass of the crop at different crop growth stages.

Root biomass

Elevated CO₂ caused higher root growth of the crop. At 25, 45 and 65 DAS, root biomass was 0.73, 2.01 and 1.77 g plant⁻¹, respectively under elevated CO₂ while in ambient condition, the values were 0.51, 1.39 and 1.19 g plant⁻¹ (Table 2). Earlier workers also reported increased root growth in response to enriched CO₂ condition due to increased supply of photosynthate to roots (Jin *et al.*, 2012; Laby *et al.* 2000).

Initially, cyanobacteria showed no effect on root biomass but at later stages (45 & 65 DAS), cyanobacterial inoculation significantly increased the root biomass of the crop (Table 2). Higher rhizosphere activity in cyanobacteria treatments during the flowering stage of the crop might have

| Biomass | DAS | CO ₂ | Суа | Р | CO ₂ x Cya. | $\mathrm{CO}_2 \times \mathrm{P}$ | Cya × P | $\mathrm{CO}_2 \times \mathrm{Cya} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
|---------|-----|-----------------|-----|---|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---|
| Root | 25 | S* | NS | S | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | 45 | S | S | S | S | S | NS | NS |
| | 65 | S | S | S | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Leaf | 25 | S | S | S | NS | S | NS | NS |
| | 45 | S | S | S | NS | S | NS | NS |
| | 65 | S | S | S | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Stem | 25 | S | S | S | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | 45 | S | S | S | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | 65 | S | S | S | NS | NS | NS | NS |

 Table 1. Significant effect of CO2, cyanobacterial inoculation (Cya) and P doses and their interaction on cowpea root, leaf and stem biomass

*Significant at P<0.05; NS = Non-significant

| P Levels | Phosphorus | Ambient CO ₂ | Elevated CO ₂ | Without | With |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| (mg kg ⁻¹ soil) | 1 | 2 | 2 | Cyanobacteria | Cyanobacteria |
| | | 25 | DAS | | |
| Р | 0.50 ^{B#} | 0.40 ^A | 0.60 ^A | 0.49 ^A | 0.51 ^A |
| 8 | 0.62 ^A | 0.51 ^A | 0.74 ^A | 0.61 ^A | 0.63 ^A |
| 12 | 0.63 ^A | 0.51 ^A | 0.75 ^A | 0.61 ^A | 0.64 ^A |
| 16 | 0.65 ^A | 0.53 ^A | 0.78 ^A | 0.67 ^A | 0.64 ^A |
| 20 | 0.68 ^A | 0.59 ^A | 0.78 ^A | 0.66 ^A | 0.71 ^A |
| Mean | | 0.51 ^B | 0.73 ^A | 0.61 ^A | 0.63 ^A |
| | | 45 | DAS | | |
| Control P | 1.48 ^D | 1.24 ^H | 1.73 ^D | 1.35 ^A | 1.61 ^A |
| 8 | 1.61 ^c | 1.31 ^G | 1.91 ^c | 1.48 ^A | 1.74 ^A |
| 12 | 1.75 ^в | 1.45 ^F | 2.06 ^B | 1.62 ^A | 1.89 ^A |
| 16 | 1.82 ^A | 1.48 EF | 2.17 ^A | 1.67 ^A | 1.98 ^A |
| 20 | 1.86 ^A | 1.52 ^E | 2.19 ^A | 1.74 ^A | 1.97 ^A |
| Mean | | 1.39 ^B | 2.01 ^A | 1.57 ^B | 1.84 ^A |
| | | 65 | DAS | | |
| Control P | 1.15 ^в | 0.95 ^A | 1.36 ^A | 1.02 ^A | 1.29 ^A |
| 8 | 1.33 ^в | 1.05 ^A | 1.60 ^A | 1.19 ^A | 1.47 ^A |
| 12 | 1.55 ^A | 1.26 ^A | 1.84 ^A | 1.44 ^A | 1.66 ^A |
| 16 | 1.67 ^A | 1.32 ^A | 2.03 ^A | 1.48 ^A | 1.86 ^A |
| 20 | 1.70 ^A | 1.39 ^A | 2.02 ^A | 1.60 ^A | 1.80 ^A |
| Mean | | 1.19 ^B | <i>1.77</i> ^{<i>A</i>} | 1.35 ^B | 1.61 ^A |

Table 2. Impact of elevated CO₂, cyanobacterial inoculation and phosphorus dose on root biomass in cowpea (g plant⁻¹) at 25, 45 and 65 DAS

#Values followed by same letters in a column are not significantly different.

resulted in higher biomass allocation to roots at later growth stages. The P application also significantly improved root growth of the crop over the control. At 45 DAS, increase in P dose up to 16 mg kg⁻¹ soil significantly enhanced the root biomass of the crop. Beneficial effect of P application and cyanobacteria on root growth was more pronounced in high CO₂ treatment at 45 DAS (Table 2). This shows that during the flowering stage of the crop, when the root activity was maximum, elevated CO₂ along with addition of P and cyanobacteria had significant positive impact on root growth of cowpea.

Stem biomass

2015]

Elevated CO_2 had positive effect on stem biomass in all three growth stages. At 25, 45 and 65 DAS, stem biomass was 1.83, 8.45 and 8.11 g plant⁻¹ under elevated CO_2 condition while under ambient CO₂ treatment, biomass were recorded as 1.36, 6.49 and 6.35 g plant⁻¹, respectively (Table 3). Cyanobacteria inoculation and P application significantly increased biomass of the stem throughout the growth stages. At 45 DAS, stem biomass was 7.75 g plant⁻¹ in cyanobacteria applied treatments while in treatments without cyanobacteria, stem biomass was 7.2 g plant⁻¹. Increase in P dose up to 12 mg kg⁻¹ soil significantly enhanced stem biomass of the crop.

Leaf biomass

Leaf biomass of the crop was 1.66, 6.71 and 6.25 g plant⁻¹ at 25, 45 and 65 DAS in high CO_2 treatment while at ambient CO_2 level, values were 1.27, 5.05 and 4.76 g plant⁻¹respectively (Table 4). Cyanobacteria application also significantly increased leaf biomass of the crop. Leaf biomass was 5.58 g plant⁻¹ in ambient treatment while in

| P Levels | Mean | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| (mg kg ⁻¹ soil) | Phosphorus | Ambient CO ₂ | Elevated CO ₂ | Without Cya. | With Cya. | | |
| | | 25 | DAS | | | | |
| Control P | 1.21 ^{C#} | 1.04 ^A | 1.37 ^A | 1.16 ^A | 1.25 ^A | | |
| 8 | 1.48 ^в | 1.26 ^A | 1.69 ^A | 1.41 ^A | 1.54 ^A | | |
| 12 | 1.71 ^A | 1.43 ^A | 1.99 ^A | 1.62 ^A | 1.80 ^A | | |
| 16 | 1.79 ^A | 1.55 ^A | 2.02 A | 1.75 ^A | 1.82 ^A | | |
| 20 | 1.79 ^A | 1.52 ^A | 2.06 ^A | 1.69 ^A | 1.90 ^A | | |
| Mean | | 1.36 ^B | 1.83 ^A | 1.53 ^B | 1.66 ^A | | |
| | | 45 | DAS | | | | |
| Control P | 5.40 ^c | 4.60 ^A | 6.20 ^A | 5.20 ^A | 5.60 ^A | | |
| 8 | 6.98 ^в | 5.98 ^A | 7.98 ^A | 6.52 ^A | 7.44 ^A | | |
| 12 | 8.10 ^A | 7.11 ^A | 9.09 ^A | 7.87 ^A | 8.33 ^A | | |
| 16 | 8.46 ^A | 7.36 ^A | 9.57 ^A | 8.29 ^A | 8.63 ^A | | |
| 20 | 8.43 ^A | 7.42 ^A | 9.44 ^A | 8.14 ^A | 8.72 ^A | | |
| Mean | | 6.49 ^B | 8.45 ^A | 7.20 в | 7.75 ^A | | |
| | | 65 | DAS | | | | |
| Control P | 6.41 ^в | 5.51 ^A | 7.31 ^A | 5.96 ^A | 6.85 ^A | | |
| 8 | 6.95 AB | 5.96 ^A | 7.94 ^A | 6.27 ^A | 7.62 ^A | | |
| 12 | 7.66 ^A | 6.75 ^A | 8.57 ^A | 7.37 ^A | 7.95 ^A | | |
| 16 | 7.48 ^A | 6.59 ^A | 8.37 ^A | 7.13 ^A | 7.83 ^A | | |
| 20 | 7.67 ^A | 6.94 ^A | 8.39 ^A | 7.19 ^A | 8.15 ^A | | |
| Mean | | 6.35 ^B | 8.114 | 6.78^{B} | 7.68^{A} | | |

Table 3. Impact of elevated CO₂, cyanobacterial inoculation and phosphorus doses on stem biomass (g plant⁻¹) at 25, 45 and 65 DAS in cowpea

#Values followed by same letters in a column are not significantly different

Table 4. Impact of elevated CO₂, cyanobacterial inoculation and phosphorus doses on leaf biomass (g plant⁻¹) at 25, 45 and 65 DAS in cowpea

| P Levels | Mean | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| (mg kg ⁻¹ soil) | Phos. | Ambient CO ₂ | Elevated CO ₂ | Without Cya. | With Cya. | | |
| | | 25 | DAS | | | | |
| Control P | 1.03 ^D | 0.91 F | 1.14^{FE} | 0.97 ^A | 1.09 ^A | | |
| 8 | 1.31 ^c | $1.17^{\text{ DE}}$ | 1.44 ^c | 1.22 ^A | 1.40 ^A | | |
| 12 | 1.56 ^в | 1.36 ^{CDE} | 1.75 ^в | 1.54 ^A | 1.58 ^A | | |
| 16 | 1.66 AB | 1.42 ^{CD} | 1.91 AB | 1.61 ^A | 1.72 ^A | | |
| 20 | 1.76 ^A | 1.48 ^c | 2.04 ^A | 1.72 ^A | 1.80 ^A | | |
| Mean | | 1.27 ^B | 1.66 ^A | 1.41 ^B | 1.52 ^A | | |
| | | 45 | DAS | | | | |
| Control P | 3.61 ^d | 3.16 ^F | 4.06 ^E | 3.19 ^A | 4.03 ^A | | |
| 8 | 5.26 ^c | 4.48 ^E | 6.05 ^c | 4.96 ^A | 5.56 ^A | | |
| 12 | 6.26 ^в | 5.21 ^D | 7.31 ^B | 5.92 ^A | 6.61 ^A | | |
| 16 | 7.03 ^A | 6.12 ^c | 7.94 ^{AB} | 6.89 ^A | 7.17 ^A | | |
| 20 | 7.22 ^A | 6.26 ^c | 8.18 ^A | 6.94 ^A | 7.50 ^A | | |
| Mean | | 5.05 ^B | 6.71 ^A | 5.58 ^B | <i>6.17</i> ^{<i>A</i>} | | |
| | | 65 | DAS | | | | |
| Control P | 4.00 ^c | 3.36 ^A | 4.64 ^A | 3.74 ^A | 4.26 ^A | | |
| 8 | 4.78 ^{BC} | 4.04 ^A | 5.52 ^A | 4.59 ^A | 4.97 ^A | | |
| 12 | 5.52 ^в | 4.76 ^A | 6.29 ^A | 5.20 ^A | 5.85 ^A | | |
| 16 | 6.36 ^A | 5.60 ^A | 7.12 ^A | 6.03 ^A | 6.70 ^A | | |
| 20 | 6.86 ^A | 6.05 ^A | 7.67 ^A | 6.67 ^A | 7.05 ^A | | |
| Mean | | 4.76 ^B | 6.25 ^A | 5.24 ^B | 5.77 ^A | | |

#Values followed by same letters in a column are not significantly different

73

elevated CO₂ treatment, it was 6.17 g plant⁻¹ during the flowering stage (45 DAS). Allocation of biomass to leaves increased with P application to the crop. In control, where no P was applied, 34.4% of total biomass was allocated to leaves while maximum P dose resulted in translocating 41.2% of total biomass to leaves at 45 DAS. P application rate up to 16 mg kg⁻¹ soil significantly enhanced leaf dry weight of the crop at 45 and 65 DAS. Increase in plant growth in response to increased P dose has been earlier observed in several leguminous species (Israel, 1987; 1993).

Conclusions

Elevated CO_2 increased the root, stem and leaf biomass of the crop. It is likely that higher photosynthetic activity of the crop under elevated atmospheric CO_2 condition has led to accumulation of more carbon assimilates, which was eventually partitioned to different plant parts. Phosphorus and application of cyanobacteria further increased the partitioning of biomass to those above mentioned plant parts.

References

- Chakrabarti, B., Singh, S.D., Kumar, S.N., Aggarwal, P. K., Pathak, H. and Nagarajan, S. 2012. Lowcost facility for assessing impact of carbon dioxide on crops. *Curr. Sci.* **102**:1035-1040.
- Drake, B.G. and Gonzàlez-Meier, M.A. 1997. More efficient plants: A consequence of rising atmospheric CO₂? *Ann. Rev. Plant Biol.* **48**: 609-639.
- Hunt, R., Hand, D.W., Hannah, M.A. and Neal, A.M. 1991. Response to CO₂ enrichment in 27 herbaceous species. *Func. Ecol.* 5: 410-421.
- Israel, D.W. 1987. Investigation of the role of phosphorus in symbiotic dinitrogen fixation. *Plant Physiol.* **84**: 835-840.
- Israel, D.W. 1993. Symbiotic dinitrogen fixation and host-plant growth during development of and

recovery from phosphorus deficiency. *Physiol. Planta.* **88**: 294-300.

- Jin, J., Tang, C., Armstrong, R. and Sale, P. 2012. Phosphorus supply enhances the response of legumes to elevated CO₂ (FACE) in a phosphorus-deficient Vertisol. *Plant Soil* 358: 86-99.
- Laby, R.J., Kinkaid, M.S., Kim, D. and Gibson, S.I. 2000. The Arabidopsis sugar-insensitive mutants sis4 and sis5 are defective in abscisic acid synthesis and response. *The Plant J.* 23: 587-596.
- Manjunath, M., Prasanna, R., Sharma, P., Nain, L. and Singh, R. 2011. Developing PGPR consortia using novel genera – Providencia and Alcaligenes along with cyanobacteria for wheat. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci. 57: 873-887.
- Poorter, H., Roumet, C. and Campbell, B.D. 1996. Interspeci®c variation in the growth response of plants to elevated CO₂: a search for functional types. In: C. KoÈrner and F.A. Bazzaz (Eds) Carbon dioxide, populations, and communities. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 375-412.
- Prasanna, R., Pattnayak, S., Sugitha, T.C.K., Nain, L. and Saxena, A. K. 2011. Development of cyanobacterium based biofilms and their in vitro evaluation for agriculturally useful traits. *Folia Microbiol.* 56: 49-58.
- Ross, D.J., Newton, P.C.D. and Tate, K.R. 2004. Elevated CO_2 effects on herbage production and soil carbon and nitrogen pools and mineralization in a species-rich, grazed pasture on a seasonally dry sand. *Plant Soil* **260**: 183-196.
- Zanetti, S., Hartwig, U.A., Luscher, A., Hebeisen, T., Frehner, M., Fischer, B.U., Hendrey, G.R., Blum, H. and Nosberger, J. 1996. Stimulation of symbiotic N₂ fixation in *Trifolium repens* L. under elevated atmospheric CO₂ in a grassland ecosystem. *Plant Physiol.* **112**: 575-583.

Received: April 16, 2015; Accepted: May 30, 2015